

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,514	09/20/2005	Doug Goertzen	C525 0371	1911
	ASTMAN KODAK COMPANY		EXAM	INER
PATENT LEG	AL STAFF		MCFADDEN, SUSAN IRIS	
343 STATE ST ROCHESTER.	REET NY 14650-2201	50-2201 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,		2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/549,514	GOERTZEN ET AL.	
(Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Susan McFadden	2626	
The Period for Re	ne MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORT WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the form the mailing date of the communication of the form the mailing date of the the mailing at the provision of the form the mailing that the provision of the form the form the form the form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Se</u> s action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This ce this application is in condition for allowand sed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of	of Claims			
4a) 5)☐ Cla 6)☐ Cla 7)☐ Cla	im(s) <u>74-99</u> is/are pending in the application Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) <u>74-99</u> are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application F	Papers		•	
10)⊠ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the Examiner drawing(s) filed on 20 September 2005 is/a licant may not request that any objection to the collacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)	,			
1) Notice of F 2) Notice of E 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/549,514 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is should be one paragraph long and on a separate page. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 74-99 are rejected less than 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 74-99 are not drawn to a process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter. Claims to processes that do nothing more than perform the acts of a software program are non-statutory. The Specification on page 24 remarks that "These facilities may be in the form of suitable software which is executed on a processor of the portable device." "Certain implementations of the invention comprise computer processors which execute software instructions which cause the processors to perform a method of the invention." If the "acts" of a claimed process manipulate only numbers, abstract concepts or ideas, or signals representing any of the foregoing, the acts are not being applied to appropriate subject matter. Schrader, 22 F.3d at 294-95,30 USPQZd at 1458-59. Thus, a process consisting solely of mathematical operations without some claimed practical application is drawn to non-statutory subject matter. In this case, the claims merely recite a "means for transferring the control data from the computer system to a portable device.... ", without any positively recited practical application.

The features of the invention that would render the claimed subject matter statutory if recited in the claim is to include data input to the system and how it is measured and converted to the desired data. This would place the claims into a so-called "safe harbor" by requiring a physical act outside a computer (the physical input of speech and subsequent change of physical attributes thereof).

Another option would be to add limitations that indicate the practical use of the resultant data in an overall system.

For the claimed process to be statutory, <u>the claim</u> must either: (A) result in a physical transformation <u>outside</u> the computer for which a practical application is either disclosed in the specification or would have been known to a skilled artisan

Application/Control Number: 10/549,514 Page 4

Art Unit: 2626

(pre-computer or post-computer process activity), or (B) be limited to a practical application.

Election/Restrictions

3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 74-87, drawn to event triggering, classified in class 705, subclass
 10.
- II. Claims 88-92, drawn to identifying computer devices, classified in class709, subclass 229.
- III. Claims 93-99, drawn to speech recognition applications, classified in class 704, subclass 270.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 4. Inventions I,II, and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions the speech recognition system (Group III) is not related to the identification of computer devices of Group II.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 7. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. A telephone call was made to Robert Tubbs on December 17, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/549,514 Page 6

Art Unit: 2626

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan McFadden whose telephone number is 571-272-7621. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571-272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Susan McFadden Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2626